

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/053,578	01/24/2002	Hakan Pettersson	1381-0284P	3991
2292 75	90 08/29/2002			
BIRCH STEWART KOLASCH & BIRCH PO BOX 747 FALLS CHURCH, VA 22040-0747			EXAMINER	
			TRAN, THUY VAN	
FALLS CHOR	CII, VA 22040-0747			
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3652	
			DATE MAILED: 08/29/2002	2

Please find-below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Application No. 10/053,578 Applicant(s)

Pettersson et al.

Office Action Summary Examiner

Thuy V. Tran

Art Unit 3652



•	on the cover sheet with the correspondence address				
Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE MONTH(S) FROM					
THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the					
mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the					
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply a	and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.				
 Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the set of the control of the c					
earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).					
Status					
·					
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☑ This act					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims					
4) 💢 Claim(s) <u>1-7</u>	is/are pending in the application.				
4a) Of the above, claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideration.				
5) Claim(s)	is/are allowed.				
6) 💢 Claim(s) <u>1-7</u>	is/are rejected.				
7) Claim(s)					
8)	are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are	a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.					
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.					
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
13) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
a) All b) Some* c) None of:					
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
 2. X Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
application from the International Bure	au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).				
*See the attached detailed Office action for a list of th	e certified copies not received.				
14) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).					
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.					
15) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.					
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)				
3) Note Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s): 4, 5, 6	6) Cother:				

Application Number: 10/053,578 Page 2

Art Unit: 3652

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Objections

1. Claims 2, 3, 5 and 6 are objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(c), as being of improper dependent form for failing to further limit the subject matter of a previous claim. Applicant is required to cancel the claim(s), or amend the claim(s) to place the claim(s) in proper dependent form, or rewrite the claim(s) in independent form. The mounting tool is a means for mounting the apparatus for installing elevator equipment, not part of the apparatus for installing elevator equipment.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

2. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

3. Claims 3, 6 and 7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 3 recites the limitation "the suspension device" in line 2. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Re claim 6, it is not clear what applicant mean by "provided with a device for the mounting of shaft equipment".

1'

Application Number: 10/053,578 Page 3

The recitation "further comprising a mounting base for the overspeed governor and adjusting elements by adjusting a vertical height of the speed governor", found in claim 7, lines 1-3, renders the claim indefinite because it is unclear if "the mounting base and the adjusting elements" in claim 7 is the same as the "supporting means for supporting the speed governor" as recited in claim 4 or not. Further, it is not understood what applicant mean by "adjusting elements by adjusting a vertical height of the speed governor".

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 5. Claims 1-7 (as best understood) are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Japan 5-124778 A (JP '778).

JP '778 discloses an apparatus for installing shaft equipment for an elevator comprising a suspension element, Fig. 7, a suspension means (rope 38) for carrying shaft equipment, a mounting tool (upper most platform). Re claims 4-7, supporting means is shown as an elevator for supporting a speed governor 14.

6. Claims 1-7 (as best understood) are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Chapelain et al. 5,000,292.

Application Number: 10/053,578

"Art Unit: 3652

Chapelain et al. '292 disclose an apparatus for installing shaft equipment for an elevator comprising a suspension element 15, a suspension means 35, a supporting means 9, 21, 13, and a mounting tool (cabin).

7. Claims 1-7 (as best understood) are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Japan 5-238658 A.

Double Patenting

8. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ormum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Application Number: 10/053,578

Page 5

Art Unit: 3652

9. Claims 1-7 (as best understood) are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 16-20 of U.S. Patent No. 6,138,797. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the scope of the claimed invention and claims 16-20 of U.S. Patent No. 6,138,797 are the same.

Conclusion

10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Thuy v. Tran whose telephone number is (703) 308-2558.

TVT (TJT)

August 25, 2002

CHRISTOPHER P. FILLIS SUPERVISORY PATENT

TECHNOLOGY CENT.

of. Ell-